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Objectives: Information Technology (IT) Governance

• The material is intended for high-level and mid-level managerial personnel.

• Present a series of organizations IT management practice and concepts. Introduce Corporate Governance of IT based on ISO/IEC 38500 standard, by going through the standard background, the main content of the standard, its probable developments and case studies of the application of the standard.

• The aim is to inform audience about the ISO/IEC 38500 standard "family" use of ICT management in the planning of standard practice of IT management in organizations. It also provides a known framework CobiT® created by ISACA (Information Systems Audit and Control Association) for IT management and governance as well as other known frameworks.
Covered Topics for IT Governance (ITG)

- Getting to know IT and ITG
- Background of ITG ISO/IEC 38500 standard content, COBIT and ITIL Framework
- Case Studies
  - Harley-Davison
  - State of Massachusetts
  - U.S. FAA
IT Evolving from Support Tool into Source of Competitive Advantage...

Source of differentiation and advantage
Support core business processes
Support back office

IT needs to be linked with business strategy to generate value for the business

Sources: GSE - Project Highlight in IT-Governance
The Cruel Reality

- RPC-Remote Procedure Call
- CICS-Customer Information Control System Gateway
- Siebel Customer Relationship Management (CRM) Applications | Oracle
- Screen Scrape-Web Data Extraction Software and Services
- RESTful Web Services are REST architecture based web services. RESTful web services are light weight, highly scalable and maintainable and are very commonly used to create APIs for web based applications.
Obstacles Prevent Effective Engagement

**IT Seen as Black Box:**
- Business lacks visibility
- Poor customer satisfaction

**Overwhelming Demand:**
- Unstructured capture of requests and ideas
- No formal process for prioritization and trade-offs
- Reactive vs. proactive

**IT and Biz Divide**
- Business thinks in IT services – IT delivers in technology terms
- Costs disassociated with services
Disparate Systems Reduce Efficiency

- No Single System of Record for Decision-Making
- IT Management systems siloed
- Relevant Metrics Hard to Obtain
- Disparate Systems Costly to Maintain and Upgrade
IT Governance Landscape

Sources: Computer Associate
How to Improve Engagement?
Structured IT Governance Process

Comprehensive Portfolio Management
- Services, projects, assets, applications
- Systematic evaluation and prioritization
- Map controls to compliance requirements
- 100% visibility into strategic initiatives
- A single invoice to the customer for all services

Integrated Demand Management
- Capture, catalog, and prioritize all demand
- Manage service requests from help desks
- Match resources to highest-value initiatives

Business Intelligence for the BRM
- Visibility into all services that support LOB
- Detailed cost invoices
Needs, Issues & Challenges in IT

Planning
Capital, Capacity, Priorities
- Making new outsourcing decisions

Flexibility
- Deployment Complexity in number of project
- Management of Service Changes
- Deployment Complexity through lack of standard & legacy

Alignment
- Lack of Business aligned strategy
- No means of capturing demands
- Cannot aggregate need and distribute ROI

Demand
- No means of prioritization of business need
- No means of reporting SLA
- Ineffective project Management
- No Audit Trails

Supply
- Must reduce IT costs by 30%
- Reduce costs across business
- Missed targets due to lack of steering control

Quality
- No means of governing outsourced contracts

Efficiency
- No means of capturing demands
- No means of prioritization of business need
- No means of reporting SLA
- Ineffective project Management
- No Audit Trails

Control
Procedure, Audits, Metrics
- No means of governing outsourced contracts

Strategic
- Lack of Business aligned strategy

Tactical
- No means of capturing demands

Operations
- Cannot aggregate need and distribute ROI

IT and Business Resources
- No Audit Trails
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Sources: Hewlett-Packard
Corporate and ITG

- Corporate governance
  - The system by which organizations are directed and controlled. (Cadbury 1992 and OECD 1999)

- Corporate governance of IT
  - “The system by which the current and future use of IT is directed and controlled.”
    - Evaluate and direct the use of IT to support the organization and monitoring this use to achieve objectives, strategies and plans.
    - Cover strategy and policies for using IT within an organization that align with business objectives and strategies

Sources:
Issues on Management Perspective of IT in Organization

• IT is a focus and often discussed topic in organizations. Discussion ranges from ‘business enabler features’, ‘deployment’, ‘schedule’ to ‘cost factor’.
• IT-strategy serves organization’s business strategy and goals. However, the distance (gap) between high-level management staff and IT management staff is growing.
• High-level executives mostly trained from the traditional disciplines, MBA, Accounting, etc.
• Most CIO are not on a board member, no IT voice in a formation of organization strategy related to IT.

• For many organizations, ‘Consolidation’, ‘Concentration on core business’ and ‘Operational Excellence’ are additional priorities of today. All these require IT working in concert with process management between management and IT team.

Sources: GSE-Project Highlight in IT-Governance
Integrated Business and IT Strategy Development

Integrated strategy development requires joint planning and controlling boards and processes

Sources: GSE-Project Highlight in IT-Governance
ITG Manages the Interaction of all involved with IT

- Board of directors
- Central functions (Corporate strategy, Accounting, Controlling, HR ...)
- Business units, subsidiaries, affiliated companies
- Regulatory authorities\(^{(1)}\)
- Employees, workers’ council
- Internal IT service providers (IT organization, shared services center)
- External IT service providers

Transparency needed: roles, influence, responsibilities and mandate of each involved party

Sources: GSE-Project Highlight in IT-Governance
7 Core Questions

Overall: "How much value does IT contribute to the organization?"

"How well are the overall business strategy and IT strategy aligned?"

What is the purpose of IT for the organization?

How is IT managed?

"How should the IT organization be structured to account for local and global needs?"

"How should BUILD, TRANSFORM, and RUN be managed? How should the development process look like? What are appropriate standards for delivery (2) (SLAs, availability ...)?"

"How should the IT service portfolio be managed and controlled from a corporate perspective?"

Sources: GSE-Project

Highlight in IT-Governance

(1) This includes the function, application, information and technology architecture.
Source: BCG methodology, BCG Navigator

(2) E.g. SLAs, availability...
IT governance is an integral part of corporate governance and analogously combines leadership, organizational structures, and processes that ensure that IT sustains and extends the organization’s strategies and objectives.

IT governance provides guidelines, establishes criteria and standards for decision making, monitoring, measuring, and improving the performance of IT.

IT governance is the responsibility of the executive board and the executive management (incl. IT) and supports the interaction of all the organization's parties involved with IT.

Though guided by it, daily operations or operative project management, are not core part of IT governance nor can IT governance substitute for a sound business strategy.

Sources: GSE-Project Highlight in IT-Governance
ITG Description

- ITG is a **use of international standards** and/or framework to guide and structure organizations to align IT strategy with business strategy.

- ITG ensures that companies **comply with regulatory** requirements and applicable laws. It assists organizations to achieve their strategies and goals. It provides approaches to measure IT’s performance and makes sure that all stakeholders’ interests and responsivities are taken into account. It shows how an IT department is functioning in general, what key metrics management needs and what return-on-investment IT is giving back to the companies from their investments.

Sources: CIO.com
Does my organization need it?

• Large and small, public and private organizations require a method to ensure that IT functions fully support organizations’ strategies and goals. The level of complexity and effort required are largely depended on type of businesses, size of a company and applicable regulations and laws.

Do I need to do this?

• As a top management personnel, you need to be aware of how IT has a direct impact of your organizational performance and effectiveness. ITG provides systematic approach on how to handle confidential information of the company and its customers and trade partners. It clearly assigns roles, responsibilities and accountabilities to management and IT team members. ITG provides traceable direct communications between management team, IT users and IT team.
The Importance of ITG

- Compliance with applicable regulations and laws
- Support of enterprise goals
- Growth and innovation
- Competitive advantage by improving efficiency
- Reduction of risk
- Resource Management
- Performance Management
- Increase in intangible assets

Sources: CIO.com
What IT problems & issues in the IT management

• How to achieve a more measurable **productivity** and the **value** of IT use within an organization?

• How senior management can take **ownership** of the IT part of the management **alongside IT team**?

• How the **business and IT combined** to achieve the objectives of the organization's strategy?

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Why these issues are perceived as important

- IT has been used for a long time to enhance various functions and organizations accept that IT increases productivity. But, our ability to demonstrate the measurement and benefit quantitatively of IT use is still insufficient.
- IT's constantly expanding applicability into products and services as well as a facilitator of various processes and functions. Questions of the value produced in the operation has become increasingly important.
- Deficiencies in the management has been regarded as a key challenge, in particular a lack of participation in IT management and operation.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Corporate and IT Governance

• Corporate governance aims to secure growth in the value of the organization so that the organization has
  • the value based on the return on a clear strategy and objectives
  • management and accountability model that supports the achievement of the strategy and objectives
  • practices that help implement strategy and achieve objectives
  • risks affecting the achievement of the objectives of the strategy and the threat of an action-oriented organization management
  • reporting practices that provide shareholders and other stakeholders with reliable information on the objectives of the organization's ability to achieve its objectives and to manage risks, as well as the organization's management practices and responsibilities

• IT Governance, therefore, has the same ideas in IT management

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
## Decision Makers Involvement

### Sources: Hewlett-Packard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Business Decision</th>
<th>IT Decision</th>
<th>Business and IT Collaboration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Centralized</strong></td>
<td>Business Exec.</td>
<td>IT Exec.</td>
<td>Business and IT Exec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal</strong></td>
<td>Business Exec./Mgt.</td>
<td>IT Exec./Mgt.</td>
<td>Business and IT Exec./Mgt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>De-centralised</strong></td>
<td>Business Mgt.</td>
<td>IT Management</td>
<td>Business and IT Mgt.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The main features of the IT Governance

- IT creates value for operation
  - Business and IT aligned with one of the two-direction for activities performed
    - By following best practices value for the reporting on measurement results
    - By defining the IT and its role in the (business) activities
  - Responsibilities are clear, agreed and understood by all development.
  - Production and risks are managed (business) operation of the value of productive

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
### How to Implement Governance

| Execute IT Governance Assessment | • Execute assessment to identify gaps  
|                                 | • Define new role of IT in organization  
|                                 | • Define evolution roadmap to address the gaps |
| Select & Setup IT Governance Framework | • Define roles and responsibilities  
|                                      | • Setup communication path to support IT-business alignment  
|                                      | • Define management structures for decision making, reporting and escalation |
| Design IT Governance Processes | • Define policies  
|                                 | • Define processes  
|                                 | • Define KPIs and reporting requirements |
| Implement Supporting Tools | • Implement tool to support the execution of the solution  
|                                 | • Implement tools for data collection and management reporting |
| Continuous Improvement Plan (Control Lifecycle) | • Identify indicators to monitor strategy execution  
|                                      | • Define steering committee to manage relationships within IT and between business & IT  
|                                      | • Review IT strategy periodically and evolve governance environment |

Sources: Hewlett-Packard
ITG Frameworks with different Focus

Each framework can be deployed in different situations accordingly.

Note: A "framework" is a comprehensive concept describing options, methods, and tools to implement IT governance. If a framework is chosen and adapted to fit a specific company's needs, we speak of a "model".

Source: GSE Arbeitskreis "IT Governance"
Context: Best Practices

Corporate Governance

IT Governance

King Reports

IT related governance elements

Non-IT related governance elements

Val IT

CobiT

ITIL

ISO 27002

Governance of outsourcing

Source: Own source
High Level **Governing Bodies** Steer and Monitor Implementation and Performance of IT Governance

- **Governing Bodies**
  - **High Level Governing Bodies**
    - Steer and Monitor Implementation and Performance of IT Governance

- **Roles/responsibilities**
  - Provides strategic oversight to corporation
  - IT is only one dimension of their scope
  - Aligns IT to business strategy
  - Provides IT coordination across BU’s
  - Establishes IT policies and enforce standards
  - Prioritizes IT projects
  - Chartered by IT Council
  - Develops policy recommendations for IT Council
  - Focused on a specific IT topic
  - Provides BU perspective to CIO
  - Debates IT strategy
  - Input on IT project prioritization

- **Seniority of members**
  - High (leaders)
    - Governance Committees:
      - 5–7 most senior people (usually CEO, CFO, BU presidents, CIO)
    - IT Councils:
      - CIO and 5–7 well respected BU managers
    - IT Working Groups:
      - CIO and senior IT manager from each BU

- **Low (technical experts)**
  - IT Advisory Boards:
    - 3–5 IT specialists with 1–2 IT Council members

- **Degree Of Centralization Drives Which Bodies are Deployed for Which Tasks**
  - Corporate IT only (no BU IT groups)
  - Corporate IT and BU IT groups
  - BU IT groups only (no corporate IT)

Sources: GSE-Project Highlight in IT-Governance
ISO/IEC 38500
Corporate Governance of IT
A Brief History of ITG : ISO/IEC 38500

• Dot-com bubble collapsed in the late 90’s till 2000 ignited the demand for corporate disclosure and accountability. There was a poor ITG.

• In January 2005, Australian Standard Committee IT-030 (Corporate Governance of Information and Communication Technology) presented a standard, called AS-8015, that contains vocabulary used, a model and governing principles to effectively assisting management and control of any organization information and communication technology (ICT) early adopted as ISO 29382.

• Not providing detail descriptions of what and how information management systems and processes should be!


• ISO/IEC 38500:2015 is the latest release.

Sources: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AS_8015
ITG is therefore a concept been in use long before the ISO/IEC 38500

- Corporate Governance of IT is:

- “The system by which the current and future use of IT is directed and controlled.”

- Corporate governance of IT involves evaluating and directing the use of IT to support the organization and monitoring this use to achieve plans. It includes the strategy and policies for using IT within an organization.”

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
The thinking behind the models of IT Governance

- Corporate governance thinking
- Organizational theories and plagued by management practices
- Business and IT alignment together (business-IT alignment)
- IT-centralizing management decentralization
- Balanced Scorecard thinking
- IT risk management as part of the IT and business management
- International Regulatory
  - Cadbury and the OECD (corporate governance)
  - Basel II, and III (financial institutions)
  - Solvency II (insurance companies)
  - Sarbannes-Oxley, or SOX (the US financial)

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
The standard defines the term 18 used, of which the Corporate Governance of Information Technology was presented in the past. Other concepts are defined in Corporate Governance, IT, Use of IT and Risk Management.

The standard describes **three** IT-related governance, the task (tasks) and **six** principles and their joining together (code of practice).

The standard is described in the Corporate Governance of IT's model, which standardization work is called **the Reference Model**.
ISO/IEC standards of Governance of IT vs ISO of IT management

**ISO/IEC 38500**
Governance of IT

**Governance of IT**

- **ISO/IEC 15504**
  Information Technology Process Assessment

- **ISO/IEC 19770**
  Software Asset Management

- **ISO/IEC 20000**
  IT Service Management

- **ISO/IEC 25000**
  Software Product Quality Requirements and Evaluation

- **ISO/IEC 27000**
  Information Security Management Systems

**Management of IT**

Sources: Juiz & Toomey, Communications of The ACM DOI:10.1145/2656385
ISO/IEC 38500
Corporate Governance of IT

The Reference Model

is divided into two functions.

Governance function, the functions of which are based on the EDM model / processes (Three IT-related governance tasks)

Management function, the functions which based on PDCA processes
Governance Tasks - Evaluate

- Directors should examine and make judgement on the current and future use of IT, including strategies, proposals and supply arrangements (whether internal, external, or both).
- In evaluating the use of IT, directors should consider the external or internal pressures acting upon the business, such as technological change, economic and social trends, and political influences.
- Directors should undertake evaluation continually, as pressures change.
- Directors should also take account of both current and future business needs — the current and future organizational objectives that they must achieve, such as maintaining competitive advantage, as well as the specific objectives of the strategies and proposals they are evaluating.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Governance Tasks - Direct

- Directors should assign responsibility for, and direct preparation and implementation of plans and policies. Plans should set the direction for investments in IT projects and IT operations. Policies should establish sound behaviour in the use of IT.

- Directors should ensure that the transition of projects to operational status is properly planned and managed, taking into account impacts on business and operational practices as well as existing IT systems and infrastructure.

- Directors should encourage a culture of good governance of IT in their organization by requiring managers to provide timely information, to comply with direction and to conform with the six principles of good governance.

- If necessary, directors should direct the submission of proposals for approval to address identified needs.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Governance Tasks - Monitor

- Directors should monitor, through appropriate measurement systems, the performance of IT. They should reassure themselves that performance is in accordance with plans, particularly with regard to business objectives.
- Directors should also make sure that IT conforms with external obligations (regulatory, legislation, common law, contractual) and internal work practices.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Governance and Application Management Functions for Master Data Management

- Recently, knowledge management, information management, including a master data management (MDM) have been a large focus of attention.
  - Master data management one of the biggest challenges has been the lack of data ownership and/or the difficulty of agreeing product, customer and supplier data and other master data.
  - The matter has made it difficult to contribute to the fact that these data are used by most of the people working in organizations to carry out their daily work, managing daily operations. In addition, they are used consistently in reporting and various analyzes.

- Governance management and separation of functions will also help to master data management significantly.
  - Governance function sets the objectives for the quality of master data, content and other (business) functionally important properties, fixing the responsibilities and evaluated by means of measurements in accordance with the objective of intended activity.
  - Management function, in turn, provide for the creation of knowledge, the use, updating, and deleting.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
The Governing Body

ISO/IEC 38500 Corporate Governance of IT

ISO / IEC 38500 standard is intended for all types of organizations, whether they are businesses, public sector organizations or third sector operators.

Governing body is a generic entity (individual or group of individuals) responsible and accountable for performance and conformance (through control) of the organization.

Role of the governing body allows delegation result in a subsidiary entity giving more focused attention to the tasks in governance of IT (such as creation of a board committee). It also includes delegation of detail to management, as in finance and human resources.

An implicit expectation of the governing body will require management establish systems to plan, build, and run the IT enabled organization.

Sources: Juiz & Toomey, Communications of The ACM
DOI:10.1145/2656385
ISO/IEC 38500
Corporate Governance of IT

The six principles for good corporate governance of IT

6 Principles for good corporate governance of IT

**Responsibility.** Establish appropriate responsibilities for decisions relating to the use and supply of IT;

**Strategy.** Plan, supply, and use IT to best support the organization;

**Acquisition.** Invest in new and ongoing use of IT;

**Performance.** Ensure IT performs well with respect to business needs as required;

**Conformance.** Ensure all aspects of decision making, use, and supply of IT conforms to formal rules; and

**Human behavior.** Ensure planning, supply, and use of IT demonstrate respect for human behavior.

Sources: Juiz & Toomey, Communications of The ACM
DOI:10.1145/2656385
Principles for good Corporate Governance of IT

- Responsibility
- Strategy
- Acquisition
- Performance
- Conformance
- Human Behaviour

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Coverage area for behavior-oriented governance and management of IT

Governance of IT:
Behavior-Oriented vs.
Process-Oriented

The best process model is often readily defeated by poor human behavior.

ISO/IEC 38500 vs COBIT 5

Sources: Juiz & Toomey, Communications of The ACM, DOI:10.1145/2656385
The Interaction Model of 3 Governance Tasks & 6 Principles

Note: This is the use of the ISO / IEC 38500 - the standard family development. It is possible that this interaction model will never end up as part of the standard.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Governance functions, policies and practices (1) Tasks, Principles and Code of Practices

Note: This is the use of the ISO / IEC 38500 - the standard family development. It is possible that this interaction model will never end up as part of the standard.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Governance functions, policies and practices (2) Tasks, Principles and Code of Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISO/IEC 38500 Principles</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Acquisition</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Conformance</th>
<th>Human Behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance model task</td>
<td>(as per figure 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Direct**

- **D₁** - Responsibility to direct that an IT strategy exist and is aligned with business needs within an acceptable risk/reward framework while considering the needs of all people in the process.

- **D₂** - Responsibility to direct that IT acquisitions underwrite business and IT Strategic intent and are made for valid reasons.

- **D₃** - Responsibility to direct that IT meets business performance needs within current and future IT capability.

Note: This is the use of the ISO / IEC 38500 - the standard family development. It is possible that this interaction model will never end up as part of the standard.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Governance functions, policies and practices (3) Tasks, Principles and Code of Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISO/IEC 38500 Principles</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Acquisition</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Conformance</th>
<th>Human Behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance model task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(as per figure 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Monitor | M₁ - Responsibility that IT acquisitions are monitored to realise the intended returns and conform to sound acquisition practices. |
|         | M₂ – Responsibility to monitor that medium to long term governance objectives are offset against short term performance needs. |
ISO/IEC 38500 Standard Ancillary Documents

• AS 8015-2005 standards mentioned in the background

• ISO / IEC 38500: 2015: Reference to the documents from
  • ISO / IEC 38500: 2008
  • OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, OECD, 1999 ja 2004

• The standard reference document in the development of the family has also been used
  • ISO/IEC 31000 - Risk management
  • ISO/IEC 29155 - IT performance benchmarking

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
CobiT® : Version 5.0
A Brief History of ITG : CobiT® (cont’d.)

- ISACA (formerly known as Information Systems Audit and Control Association) and the IT Governance Institute (ITGI) developed CobiT® methodology (Control Objectives of Information and Related Technologies)
  - First version developed in 1996 for financial institution for auditing purposes
  - Second and third version offered manage guidelines released in 1998 and 2000 respectively
  - Fourth version incorporated AS-8105 and ISO/IEC 38500 released in 2005 (4.0) and 2007 (4.1)
  - Fifth version added information security and assurance released in 2012 and 2013 respectively

Sources: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CobiT
CobiT® 5 version of the main new aspect is the ITG.

An business framework from ISACA, at www.isaca.org/cobit.

Sources: ISACA
Where Does CobiT® Fit?

Business Drivers

PERFORMANCE:
Business Goals

CONFORMANCE:
Basel II, Sarbanes-Oxley Act, etc.

Enterprise Governance

Balanced Scorecard

COSO

IT Governance

CobiT

Best Practice Standards

ISO 9001:2000

ISO 27002

ISO 20000

Processes and Procedures

QA Procedures

Security Principles

ITIL

Source: ITGI
The Five COBIT 5 Principles

ISO 38500 6 principles:

1. RESPONSABILITY
2. STRATEGY
3. ACQUISITION
4. PERFORMANCE
5. CONFORMANCE
6. HUMAN BEHAVIOUR
The COBIT 4 domains to govern IT effectively, the responsibility domains of plan, build, run and monitor.

- Plan and Organise (PO)
- Acquire and Implement (AI)
- Deliver and Support (DS)
- Monitor and Evaluate (ME)

**COBIT’s information criteria:**
To satisfy business objectives, information needs to conform to certain control criteria
- Effectiveness
- Efficiency
- Confidentiality
- Integrity
- Availability
- Compliance
- Reliability

**CobiT® Framework**

**BUSINESS OBJECTIVES AND GOVERNANCE OBJECTIVES**

- **Monitor and Evaluate**
  - DS1: Define and manage service levels.
  - DS2: Manage third-party services.
  - DS3: Manage performance and capacity.
  - DS4: Ensure continuous service.
  - DS5: Ensure systems security.
  - DS6: Identify and allocate costs.
  - DS7: Educate and train users.
  - DS8: Manage service desk and incidents.
  - DS9: Manage the configuration.
  - DS10: Manage problems.
  - DS11: Manage data.
  - DS12: Manage the physical environment.
  - DS13: Manage operations.

- **Deliver and Support**
- **Acquire and Implement**
  - AI1: Identify automated solutions.
  - AI2: Acquire and maintain application software.
  - AI3: Acquire and maintain technology infrastructure.
  - AI4: Enable operation and use.
  - AI5: Procure IT resources.
  - AI6: Manage changes.
  - AI7: Install and accredit solutions and changes.

- **Plan and Organise**
  - PO1: Define a strategic IT plan.
  - PO2: Define the information architecture.
  - PO3: Determine technological direction.
  - PO4: Define the IT processes, organisation and relationships.
  - PO5: Manage the IT investment.
  - PO6: Communicate management aims and direction.
  - PO7: Manage IT human resources.
  - PO8: Manage quality.
  - PO9: Assess and manage IT risks.
  - PO10: Manage projects.

- **Information**
  - ME1: Monitor and evaluate IT performance.
  - ME2: Monitor and evaluate internal control.
  - ME3: Ensure compliance with external requirements.
  - ME4: Provide IT governance.

- Source: ITGI
COBIT 5 is not prescriptive, but it advocates that organisations implement governance and management processes such that the key areas are covered, as shown.

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
CobiT® 5: The Process Model

Sources: The Finnish Standards Association SFS
Interrelationship of the COBIT Components

Source: ITGI
The COBIT governance framework, composed of four domains; 34 high-level control objectives; more than 200 detailed control objectives; and thousands of goals, metrics, gaps, risks and assets, is a complex system.

The IT Governance Framework in its simplest form is implemented by one of the 34 COBIT processes. It however interacts heavily with a number of COBIT processes and provides the governance “link” for all the COBIT processes.
COBIT 5 Product Family and Framework

Source: COBIT 5, figure 11. © 2012 ISACA® All rights reserved.
Other Framework
PDCA model according to ISO/IEC 27001

Figure 1 — PDCA model applied to ISMS processes
ITIL® V2 Service Delivery Model

**Business, Customers and Users**
- Queries
- Enquiries
- Service Level Management
- Communications
- Updates
- Reports

**Requirements**
- Targets
- Achievements

**Service Level Management**
- SLAs, SLRs, OLAs
- Service reports
- Service catalogue
- SIP
- Exception reports
- Audit reports

**Financial Management For IT Services**
- Financial plan
- Types and models
- Costs and charges
- Reports
- Budgets and forecasts
- Audit reports

**Capacity Management**
- Capacity plan
- CDV
- Targets/thresholds
- Capacity reports
- Schedules
- Audit reports

**Availability Management**
- Availability plan
- AMDB
- Design criteria
- Targets/thresholds
- Reports
- Audit reports

**IT Service Continuity Management**
- IT continuity plans
- BIS and risk analysis
- Requirements defined
- Control centers
- DR contracts
- Reports
- Audit reports

**Management Tools**
- Alerts and Exceptions
- Changes

Sources: Computer Associate
IT Governance and ITIL® Version 3

Sources: Computer Associate
Service Strategies

Service Strategy Process
- Strategy Generation
- IT Financial Management
- Service Portfolio Management
- Demand Management
- Organizational Development & Design
- Implementing Service Strategy

Sources: Computer Associate
Service Design
Service Management Blueprint

> Service Design Principles

> Service Design Process
- Service Portfolio Design
- Service Catalogue Mgmt
- Service Level Mgmt
- Capacity Mgmt
- Availability Mgmt
- Service Continuity Mgmt
- Information Security Mgmt
- Supplier Mgmt

> Service Design Technology

> Service Design Implementation

Sources: Computer Associate
Service Transition

- Service Transition Principles
- Service Transition Process
  - Change Management
  - Service Asset & Configuration Mgmt
  - Knowledge Management
- Service Release Planning
  - Performance and Risk evaluation
  - Acquire Assets, Build and Test Release
  - Service Release Acceptance
  - Test and Pilot
  - Deployment, Decommission and Transfer

Sources: Computer Associate
Service Operation

Service Operation Principles

Service Operation Process
- Event Management
- Incident Management
- Request Fulfillment
- Problem Management
- Access Management

Common Service Operation Activities
- IT Operations (Console, Job Scheduling etc.)
- Mainframe Support
- Server Mgmt and Support
- Desktop Support, Middleware Mgmt, Internet/Web Mgmt
- Application Mgmt Activities

IT Security

Organization Service Operation
- Service Desk
- Technical Management
- IT Operations Management
- Application Management Service Design Implementation

Sources: Computer Associate
Continual Service Improvement

- Continual Service Improvement Principles
- Continual Service Improvement Process
  - Measurement and Control
  - Service Measurement
  - Service Assessment and Analysis
  - Service Level Management
- Organizing for Service Continual Improvement

Sources: Computer Associate
Improvement actions & plans

Continual Service Improvement

Service Operation

Service Transition

Service Design

The Business / Customers

IT Governance
(Demand, Risk & Control, Service Portfolio, Project Financial Mgmt, Business Relationship Mgmt, and Process Management)

IT Governance
(Demand, Resource, Process Mgmt, and Project Mgmt)

IT Governance
(Resource Mgmt, Project Mgmt, and Process Management)

IT Governance
(Policy, Resource, Process Mgmt, and Bus Relationship Mgmt)

Service Portfolio

Service Catalogue

Requirements

IT Governance
(New Product Development, Project Mgmt, Resource Mgmt, Financial Mgmt, and Demand Mgmt)

Sources: Computer Associate
HARLEY DAVIDSON IT GOVERNANCE CASE STUDY
Harley Davidson IT

Harley Davidson is the oldest producer of high-quality motorcycles since 1903 from Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA. It has achieved 20 consecutive years of record growth. The company has two main sectors, motorcycle and the financial services. The company focused manufacturing and selling high quality motorcycles. In 2003, the company realized its own IT shortcoming. The company does not have:

• standardized **user process** to access data and IT applications, which made life difficult for users and exposed the application to hackers
• **change management process** defined in order to capture information about who made changes and why
• impact analysis done on any of proposed changes before it is performed, which caused unexpected chain reaction to other connected systems
• good processes to document IT activities, products and outcomes
• **clear strategy for backup and recovery process.**

Sources: UKEssays : ISACA
The Challenge

• Getting management, auditing and IT team to understand each other terminologies and points of views, basically speaking the same language, in order to continue growing the company and preserve unique company culture.

• With the enactment of Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the fact that regulations became tighter worldwide, the company established a new compliant department implementing many of the general compliances models sourced from vendors.
  • It later implemented CobiT®.
  • It was able to convert existing control framework to CobiT®.
  • It was able select particular areas of CobiT® framework for the company.

Sources: UKEssays : ISACA
Why Harley Davison Selected CobiT®

- CobiT® is an internationally accepted standard for ITG and control practices.
- COBIT has a common language that can be used by management, company staff at all levels, and IT audit and security professionals.
- CobiT® provides a means for benchmarking controls compliance.
- CobiT® framework provides tools and templates.
- CobiT® harmonizes and maps to other major standards, including ISO 17799, ITIL and NIST.
- The external auditor agreed to use the same framework and control objectives.

Sources: UKEssays : ISACA
Benefits

• CobiT® brought about an agreeable terms with the auditor on implementation of control and governance worldwide.

• Non-technical staff like motorcycle experts and builders were educated regarding concepts of methods of controls and their importance using CobiT®.

• CobiT® changed the perception among control owners that "a lot means more" to "a few but effective". They understood that less amount of time and fewer resources didn't matter provided the final outcome was feasible in terms of business, without risking quality, quantity and safety.

• No more randomness and loose justifications in choosing areas of audit. Areas of audit are selected based on business value and control needs.

Sources: UKEssays : ISACA
Benefits (cont’d.)

- ITG personnel can map frameworks "behind the scenes."
- Everyone uses the same standard and framework.
- IT can show compliance with multiple frameworks using known mapping methods, e.g. between ITIL and CobiT®.
- CobiT® helps establish a consistent focus.
- CobiT® gains external audit agreement on the company's control position.
- Root causes can be identified by the ability to use control objectives.
- CobiT® has a comprehensive view of the risk and control environment.
- CobiT® provides a foundation for all future internal and Sarbanes-Oxley-related audits.
- CobiT® became an invaluable tool in the company's internal comparison method.

Sources: UKEssays : ISACA
Keys to Successful ITG

• The company has full support and sponsorship from executives for the new Governance method. They are key stakeholders.
• These executives were able to get grass root level employees involved in the process early. They clearly informed the value of the new process and its significance.
• Employees participating in establishing the framework need to know the measurable outcomes the controls and process put in place.
• The company has a very good issue tracking mechanism to track and report findings so that steps are taken to ensure follow up with management action plan owners to address the issues.

Sources: UKEssays : ISACA
STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS
IT GOVERNANCE CASE STUDY
State of Massachusetts ITG

The Commonwealth's unique model for consolidation

Several key IT Consolidation goals:

Baseline

Future

60 Data centers
55 Desktop groups
43 Helpdesks
23 Email systems
15 Networks

2 Data centers
8 Desktop groups
9 Helpdesks
1 Email system
1 Network
State of Massachusetts ITG

The Commonwealth's unique model for consolidation

• Use of a team collaboration software to make ITG information available on-line, https://www.atlassian.com/software/confluence

• ITG is under IT Consolidation Communications Hub project
  • IT Planning - Detailed Target State Responsibilities
  • IT Budgeting - Detailed Target State Responsibilities
  • IT Service Level Approval and Oversight - Detailed Target State Responsibilities
  • IT Consolidation Benefits Realization and Performance Management - Detailed Target State Responsibilities
  • Detailed IT Governance Roles and Responsibilities

• IT Governance Processes
  • https://wiki.state.ma.us/display/itconsolidation/IT+Governance+Processes
Consolidation Framework
Executive Order 510 defines the Commonwealth's unique model for consolidation. The model balances economies of scale with responsiveness to the business needs of the secretariats and their agencies.
State of Massachusetts ITG

### Current Status
The IT Consolidation Project is composed of three phases of activity. Currently the project is in the Implementation Phase. [Latest at-a-glance results are available here.](#)

### Phase 1: Plan
**Mar ’09 – Jul ’09**

- Appointed SCIOs
- Consolidated Secretariat IT Budgets
- Established IT Governance Model and Bodies
- Developed High-Level Commonwealth and Secretariat Level IT Consolidation Plans
- Implemented Short Term Administration Processes

### Phase 2: Detailed Planning
**Jul ’09 – Sep ’09**

- Inventoried IT Assets and Workforce
- Planned for Staff Transition, Training, and Career Paths
- Started Implementation of Secretariat Consolidation Plans
- Developed Data Center Consolidation Playbook
- Refined Chargeback Model
- Designed Shared Network Architecture
- Negotiated Shared Software License

### Phase 3: Implementation
**Oct ’09 – 2014**

- Upgrade ITD Infrastructure
- Consolidate 4 Infrastructure Services in Waves at ITD
- Implement Secretariat Consolidation Plans
- Focus on Improved Service Delivery
- Measure IT Consolidation Benefits
Governance Defined

- **Governance** is about decision making
- Conversely, **management** is making sure that the enterprises’ governance process is executed
- Governance establishes the processes to assure that the appropriate laws, policies and standards are followed
- Governance defines the chains of responsibility, authority, and communication, as well as the measurement and control mechanisms to enable people to carry out their roles and responsibilities
- There are a number of governance categories, for example:
  - Information Technology (IT) Governance
  - Enterprise Architecture (EA) Governance
  - SOA Governance
**Federal Aviation Administration**

**SOA Governance**

---

**Governance Types and Components**

- **Key IT Governance Decisions**
  - IT Principles
  - IT Architectural Decisions
  - IT Infrastructure
  - Business Application Needs
  - IT Investment and Prioritization

- **Key SOA Governance Decisions**
  - SOA Business and SOA IT Principles
  - SOA Architectural Decisions
  - SOA Infrastructure
  - Service Portfolio Needs
  - Service Candidate Funding and Prioritization

*SOA Governance by Brown, Laird, Gee, and Mitra*
System Wide Information Management (SWIM)

Today

- Existing point-to-point hardwired NAS
- Unique interfaces, custom designs

Business as Usual

- More point-to-point unique interfaces
- Costly development, test, maintenance, CM
- New decisions linked to old data constructs
- Cumbersome data access outside the NAS

Enterprise Management

- Requires common Governance Framework

LEGEND

- SWIM Segment 1
- SWIM Future Segment
- SWIM Adapter
SWIM Compliance

- **SWIM Compliance Definition:**
  - “verified conformance to SWIM Policies.”
  (ref: SWIM Service Lifecycle Management Processes v1.0)

- **Verification Mechanisms**
  - Manual review of artifacts
  - Governance-enabling Technology
    - NAS Service Registry/Repository (NSRR)
    - Testing Tools (Actional, Lisa, etc…)
    - SWIM Web Service Security Compliance Test Kit (SWIM WS-S CTK)
    - Policy Servers
    - XML Gateways
    - Enterprise Service Management (ESM) software

- **Not just a “Rubber Stamp”**
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